

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: Lance Patrick Alexander and  
Terri Ann Alexander,  
Debtors,

Lance Patrick Alexander and  
Terri Lynn Alexander,  
Movants,

v.

Ronda J. Winneccour, Chapter 13 Trustee,

Respondents,

Bankruptcy No. 17-21820-JAD

Chapter 13

Related to DD No. 142, 147  
DD No. 151

REPLY CONCERNING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY

AND NOW the Debtors Lance and Terri Alexander, through attorney David A. Colecchia, Esq, file the within reply concerning the Debtors' Application to Employ. In support of said Application, the Debtors aver the following:

1. The Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee's response discusses, in part the requirement of pre-approval of Special Counsel for the Debtors in Chapter 13 matters.
2. The Debtors maintain their argument in the Motion that the proposed special counsel is appropriate for the reasons stated therein, and will not re-hash those arguments here.
3. However, in preparing for an upcoming hearing on this matter, the Debtors have discovered substantial case law, and a split of authority, on the question of pre-approval in the first instance.
4. More specifically, a growing body of bankruptcy courts have indicated that special counsel for the Debtors never need pre-approval under 11 USC §327, and only need

to submit a statement pursuant to F. R.Bankr.P. 2016. See, e.g. *in re Roggio*, 577 B.R. 458 (Bankr.M.D.Pa. 2017) (Application to employ real estate agent not needed, as Court had no authority to grant dame under §327, and any Order would only be an advisory opinion); *In re Demeza*, 16-02789, 3-5 (Bankr.M.D.Pa. 2018)(following, and analyzing, Roggio case in context of creditor seeking appointment of counsel); *In re Blume*, 591 B.R. 675, 679 (Bankr.E.D.Mi. 2018) (Debtor does not need to seek bankruptcy court approval to employ special counsel); *In re Jones*, 505 B.R. 229, 233 (Bankr.E.D.Wi. 2014)(same).

5. Substantial disagreement exists on this point with other bankruptcy courts. See *In re Goines*, 465 B.R. 704, 706-707 (Bankr.N.D.Ga. 2012)(Debtor has obligation to seek appointment of special counsel under §327), and *In re Wright*, 578 B.R. 570, 580-582 (Bankr.S.D.Tx. 2017)(requiring preapproval).

6. In Debtor's view, the cases that do not require pre-approval have the stronger argument.

7. More specifically, 11 USC §327 applies to a "Trustee".

8. While F.R.Bankr.P. 9001(11) defines "Trustee" to include a Chapter 11 Debtor in Possession, it specifically does not list a chapter 13 "Debtor in possession".

9. In fact, a review of Chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code finds that, while a Debtor is allowed to hold and use certain property pursuant to 11 USC §1303, such a Debtor is not defined as a "Debtor in Possession", nor does any other part of Chapter 13 indicate such a Debtor is a "Debtor in possession", as defined under the Bankruptcy Code.

10. To that end, if while a Debtor may "use" (i.e. prosecute) a claim that is estate property under 11 USC §1303, the Debtor does not have to obtain permission to hire counsel for such a prosecution.

11. While the Debtors maintain that the proposed Special Counsel is appropriate, the Debtors submit that such preapproval may be unnecessary, or requiring such preapproval may not be allowed under the dictates of the Code and related Rules.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests this Honorable Court approve the appointment of proposed Special Counsel, or in the alternative, find such approval is not necessary.

Date: August 2, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/David A. Colecchia  
David A. Colecchia, Esq.  
David A. Colecchia and Associates  
324 South Maple Ave.  
Greensburg, PA 15601  
724-837-2320  
PA.I.D.No. 71830  
[colecchia542@comcast.net](mailto:colecchia542@comcast.net)